

The following email was sent to Justine Elliot, our Member for the Seat of Richmond. Your comments would be welcome.

Dear Mrs Elliot

I filled out your webpage form on Monday evening requesting an interview with you and was contacted yesterday by one of your staff who insisted I must send you details of what I would like to discuss.

I am gravely concerned about the present trajectory that Australia is on and wished to personally convey a number of the major concerns to you, as my elected representative in Federal Parliament.

This email is focused on the prospect that Australia may enter into some sort of treaty with the World Health Organisation(WHO) in the near future. I want to express, in the strongest possible terms, this is not a good idea. There is no problem in my mind with Australia keeping a watchful eye on the WHO but our involvement with that organisation should be limited to only that.

To that end, I have attached a briefing, titled "Reasons Why Australia Must Exit the WHO_23042024.pdf", I would like to you peruse.

In connection with this, and as an illustration of how profoundly dangerous the WHO is to the health and freedom of all Australians, I enclose a letter (L_PM&Premiers&ChiefMinisters.pdf) I wrote which was sent on 21 Oct 2012 by **registered mail** to the 33 addressees listed therein.

The letter was cosigned by Prof Dr Peter McCullough, Prof Dr Dolores Cahill, Dr Tess Lawrie and Dr Robert Brennan. **I have never received a reply or even an acknowledgement to this letter.**

That letter points out to the recipients that:

1. Data which was available from around June 2020 showed that COVID-19 was not a serious threat to public health; the average age of death caused by COVID-19 for males being 82 years and for females 86 years. It therefore did not warrant the extraordinary measures being implement at that time by Governments at all levels within the Commonwealth.
2. Early treatment, using a sequenced combination of low cost medicines which centred around Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin, Doxycycline, Azithromycin and Zinc salt, had been proven to be very effective in the treatment of this disease. There was therefore no reason for there to be an emergency use authorisation for unproven, potentially dangerous mRNA technology.
3. Masks do not stop the spread of RNA respiratory viruses and many years of empirical data suggested they actually increase infection rates. Likewise lockdowns were completely unwarranted.
4. The Anti COVID Injections, based on mRNA technology, have not been properly tested and data available at that time indicated these injections were ineffective and very dangerous to the health of the recipients.

What has this to do with the WHO? A lot really. On every major point above, the WHO provided false information. Initially they claimed the disease was not transmissible when there was ample evidence to suggest it was. They then vacillated on the matter of the efficacy of masks, gave false information regarding the effectiveness of early treatment and have persistently lied about the efficacy and safety of Anti-COVID injections based on mRNA technology. Experts estimate that over 13 million people have now died as a consequence of adverse reaction to these injections. That fact alone should cause any reasonable person to conclude the WHO is a derelict and dangerous entity.

I want you to know that this is very personal to me. My son-in-law's parents both dropped dead from severe heart inflammation a few days after receiving mRNA boosters. My daughter-in-law, despite having a medical exemption, was compelled to submit to an injection by the NSW Education Department. Immediately afterwards she lost the sight in her left eye and was stricken by severe headaches. The doctor who gave her the medical exemption had his surgery raided by the Federal Police and his computers and patient files confiscated. He is still deregistered and three years later his case hasn't been heard. This is not natural justice. It is a travesty of justice and should worry every law-abiding Australian.

So now we move onto the matter of the misinformation bill. Up to now, the Australian Government, just like the WHO, has been a persistent source of misinformation. Free speech is the foundation-stone of any enduring democracy. That is why it is the first amendment in the US Constitution. Government can never be trusted to be the guardian of truth. It is an oxymoron. The reason for protecting free speech is to protect the citizenry from delinquent government. And over this last three years we have seen ample examples of that from all sides of politics.

All of the above affects you and your loved ones. Not just other people. I was trained in biological warfare. This is biological warfare. It is being conducted by numerous disparate actors, all with different agendas but, as the saying goes, if agendas converge, there is no need for conspiracy. If you or your loved ones have been injected with a therapy utilising mRNA technology it is highly likely, if the injection was not denatured by heat or defective, that you have sustained serious medical injury to your immune, circulatory and nervous system. The effect of this depends on your genetics but right now the excess mortality in Australia is running at over 10%. The population that is suffering this excess mortality is the injected group. Those persons who did not submit to Anti-COVID injections have a mortality rate that is the same as the average mortality rate computed between the years 2015 and 2020. You don't have to be a genius to work out what is causing the excess mortality.

So I will conclude this letter by stressing that you should convey to your party's leadership that:

1. **Australia should not enter into any treaty with the World Health Organisation.** Ideally Australia should disassociate itself from the WHO entirely but that is most probably a bridge too far at this moment.

2. **The Misinformation Bill should be shelved and all restriction on free speech should be removed** except for speech that directly incites violence.
3. **All injections based on mRNA, both for humans and animals, should be stopped** until their efficacy and safety has been determined by a thorough investigation of trial data conducted by truly independent medical scientists.
4. **Early treatment** using the medicines listed in my letter, and any others that qualified medical practitioners feel are warranted, **should be promoted for the treatment of all RNA viruses** both for humans and animals.
5. **All doctors that have been deregistered** for voicing their concerns, all of which have proven to be well founded, **should be reinstated and they should receive just compensation** for the monetary loss and emotional injury they have endured for putting their patients' welfare ahead of pecuniary and career interests.

If you should wish to meet with me please let me know. That meeting will have the highest priority in my calendar schedule.